

United States Patent and Trademark Office

EINTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,793	12/10/2004	Haus Kramer	13979	1815
75	90 06/07/2005		EXAM	INER
Orum & Roth			RAO, G N	IAGESH
53 West Jackson	n Boulevard			
Chicago, IL 60604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1722	

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/510,793	KRAMER, HANS				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	G. Nagesh Rao	1722				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· <u>·</u>							
3)□							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	ion Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 1. Claims 1-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Kramer (US Patent No. 5,462,427) in view of Heldt (DE Patent No. 29,706,270 U1).

Kramer 427 teaches a rotary tablet press wherein the specification and figure 1 teach the following device comprised of a mould shelf (15) that reads on as a type of rotating die table, an upper guide ring (13) that reads on stamp guide that is a type of reciprocating rod-like device with an upper and

Application/Control Number: 10/510,793

Art Unit: 1722

lower ram guide (22 and 23) that read on upper and lower stamps which are coaxial to the mold (as seen in figure 1), elastic ram sealing elements capable of rotational symmetry and attachable with their base section to the ram guide ring to form a seal between the ram guide and mould shelf, whereby the sealing element is capable of having base section with an undercut (Col 4 Lines 25-67 and Col 5 Lines 1-20).

Kramer 427 lacks the specific teaching of incorporating a base and sleeve section that forms a scraper lip on the side edge of the reciprocating rod in an abutting manner to form a seal.

In an apparatus pertaining to sealing mechanisms for reciprocating rods, Heldt 270 depicts in figures 1-3 a scraper lip (6') with a scraper surface (13') against the reciprocating rod (12) with a surface structure (17), whereby the use of the scraper is for the removal of particles from the rod when coupled with the cylinder and its advantage allows for the scraper lip structure to prevent leakage of material through the scraping action of particles outward.

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the apparatus of Kramer 427 with the teachings of Heldt 270, whereby the scraper lip sealing mechanism when coupled to the reciprocating rod is advantage to use do to

Art Unit: 1722

its ergonomic design and easily attachable and replaceable due to wear and tear without having to replace the apparatus completely.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G. Nagesh Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-2946. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200 1700

GNR